



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/784,793	1,793 02/15/2001		Noel Ray Marchbanks	1182a	2725	
28004	7590	04/28/2005		EXAMINER		
SPRINT			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C			
6391 SPRIN KSOPHT01			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OVERLANI	PARK,	KS 66251-2100	3624			

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
1.		09/784,7	09/784,793		MARCHBANKS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit	T			
		Richard (C Weisberger	3624				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with t	the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and veryill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply ututory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered times from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	•, .	·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•		-				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl ents have been rec ile 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachmer	• •		. .	·				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (P	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The applicant argues that since a communications network "typically" comprises computers, software and switches and that since an "example" of a communications network is a telephone network, the method of billing is statutory. A careful read of the claims however show that the communications network is not claim limiting. Rather, the billing system (which can reads on a billing method, see, The Original Roget's Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases) is claimed with an intended application to a communications network. Each of the method steps is claimed without a claimed link to the technology (see, receiving, processing, receiving, processing, calculating, and generating). For these reasons, the rejection is proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant argues the "billing system" is a system of components. The examiner is unable to find this definition in the specification. There is reference to billing system infrastructure which would imply that billing system is a method and not a apparatus.

Art Unit: 3624

Moreover, looking to claim 9 the applicant limits the billing system to comprise a call processing system and an invoice. There specification lacks any limiting definition of a call processing or invoice system (e.g., "a call processing system is defined as ..."). It is not clear what system of components (if any) are compulsory of the system of components of a call processing or invoice processing systems. For these reasons, the rejection is proper.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 703 308 4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,793 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 703 308 1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger
Primary Examiner